COLORADO SPRINGS MUNICIPAL COURT / 25M35018

Crawford on the repair cost

Cody Crawford’s response to the City Attorney’s Office, Prosecution Division

Where this form came from

The city addressed its request for restitution information to Community Partners Child Development at 2330 Robinson Street. November 20 dates the city’s letter. The handwritten answer below describes a repair already made.

City letter · source p. 1

Date: November 20, 2025

Community Partners Child Development
2330 Robinson St.
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

Re: City of Colorado Springs v. WINGO, JOHN ELLIS
Case Number: 25M35018
Charge(s): Damage to Property
Next Court Date: TBD

Property damage · question 3, source p. 2

3. Did you experience any property damage? If so, please describe that damage and attach any bills you have paid or received. **If you have not fixed the damage, please provide any estimates you have obtained regarding the potential repair costs. We may be able to request additional time to wait for estimates or bills if you have not received them yet.** The court must have at least an estimate to consider restitution.

The entrance door was damaged when the subject kicked it, pushing the bottom metal panel inward approximately three inches. The damage has since been repaired by our Maintenance Specialist, whom we pulled from classroom maintenance duties to address this security breach promptly. The total internal cost to complete the repair, including time and labor, was under $500.00

The doorway and the lockdown

Crawford gave a first-person account in the form and in the attached incident report.

Identification and physical contact · question 5, source p. 3

5. Can you identify the Defendant as the person who committed the offense? If so, how are you able to identify the Defendant? Do you know the Defendant personally? If so, how and for how long? If not, describe how you could see the Defendant and your memory of the Defendant's appearance. *If this offense involves an animal, please provide these answers for both the animal and their human keeper.*

Yes, I can identify the Defendant, MR. John Ellis Wingo. I am the Staff member who was positioned at the Entrance doors and personally denied him access to the facility. During the incident, I had direct physical contact with him, as I had to push him backward in the chest to prevent him from Entering while we were under an active lockdown due to his escalating hostility and Threatening behavior. His actions, as well as my interaction with him, were also captured on our security cameras.

6. Please describe how this incident made you feel.

Author and incident location · source p. 9

Date of Incident:	Friday, October 31, 2025
Time of Incident:	Approximately 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.
Location:	2330 Robinson Street, Meeting Room 225 and Main Entrance Area
Reported By:	Cody Crawford, Director of Facilities
Police Case Number:	2025-00416573

The SAFE team, the doorway and the detectives · source p. 9

The father continued to display hostile and threatening behavior, posing a clear risk to staff and others present. I retreated inside the building and requested that the SAFE team initiate a lockdown, which they were already in the process of implementing.

While securing the building, an unaware employee attempted to enter from the main door. As I opened the door to let her in, the father rushed toward the entrance in an apparent attempt to force entry. I extended my right arm and pushed him backward in the chest to prevent him from entering and immediately secured the door. The father then kicked the door with significant force, damaging the bottom metal panel by pushing it inward approximately three inches and breaking the rear welds.

Staff had already contacted 911. Detectives with the Colorado Springs Police Department assigned to Safe Passage—who had reportedly been monitoring the situation nearby—responded immediately, detaining the father and grandfather. Additional officers arrived shortly thereafter to assist.

Crawford describes his request to a SAFE team at the Robinson Street office and his own use of force. He attributes the prior monitoring by detectives to information reported to him. He gives no start time for their observation.