John Wingo contacts McCollum Crowley P. A. associate Wesley J. Cornwell. John Wingo notifies Wesley that 3M Company in-house attorneys have been contacting the witnesses in support of plaintiffs regarding John Wingo et al v. 3M Company. The witnesses stated that they were being harassed and that 3M Company attorneys were being overly aggressive.

(Concerns are raised at this point because no witnesses were disclosed by plaintiffs at this time questions raise as to how 3M Company attorneys knew who to contact and who were the witnesses and or who related regarding any of the cases John Wingo et al v. 3M Company. John Wingo phone files were obtained illegally and this was done around June 2021 - August 2021. The files were then handed over to 3M Company in efforts to cover up the claims and gain knowledge regarding all plaintiffs claims. In the production that 3M Company produced evidence will clearly show that they had John Wingo phone files)

December 2022

During May 2022 - December 2022 John WIngo raised many concerns as to meeting and being in contact with plaintiffs.

Plaintiff's evidence as well as the platform evidence was to be received and uploaded was not confirmed. Plaintiffs could not upload evidence in an efficient way to produce to McCollum Crowley. In December 2022, McCollum Crowley directed plaintiffs to Dropbox. Weeks following, McCollum Crowley had difficulties receiving evidence uploads and this resulted in McCollum Crowley directing Plaintiffs to use the platform

3M Company defendants allege that a delay can harm the lawsuit and is damaging the 3M Company. Plaintiffs believe the delay could be caused by the production of material produced by 3M Company. It is time consuming to plaintiffs. This is with former counsel's knowledge of the blatant fraud. 3M defendants make false claims John Wingo bragged because in a text they examined a “money bag.” The drafting of statements as such plaintiffs consider delay. Especially when the discovery that was obtained by plaintiffs, submitted by 3M Company, needs to answer to who created and undermined the plaintiffs and tried to fraud and deceive the highly respected Judge within the Federal Courts, United States Citizens, shareholders and customers. The plaintiffs will need the court's directions on how to proceed.

Instead of conducting formal investigations to locate and actually have factual information about motion to compel delay could have been due to the documents created in bad faith, perjury and intent to deceive the court. 3M Company claims good faith when continuing to defend claims knowingly having documents in possession to deceive the U.S courts, plaintiffs and American citizens. Good faith and ethical questions are at hand when one would dare possess documents such as not having the care to try and authenticate, no attempt to produce any metadata, and or not notify the courts. 3M documents were created to blatantly cover plaintiffs claims. This is believed to have been done with the illegal download of John Wingo’s phone which was held or done by Rolf Fiebiger, Robert Trzynka and Kevin Barrow. The covering up and creation of documents to look like emails to fraud and decide the courts are believed to be created by 3M employees and In-house counsel (Daniel Uhlir, who has representation